

Editorial and Ethical Policies

No Prior Publication

Evidence Base (EB) expects that the articles it publishes will not have been published or released elsewhere before they are published in EB. EB also asks that authors not release the details of their findings to the mass media before their work can undergo peer review and be published.

Originality and plagiarism

Authors should ensure that they have written and submit only entirely original works, and if they have used the work or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the work reported in the manuscript should also be cited. Plagiarism takes many forms, from 'passing off' another's paper as the author's own, to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another's paper (without attribution), to claiming results from research conducted by others. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Acknowledgement of sources

Authors should ensure that they have properly acknowledged the work of others, and should also cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Information obtained privately (from conversation, correspondence or discussion with third parties) must not be used or reported without explicit, written permission from the source. Authors should not use information obtained in the course of providing confidential services, such as refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, unless they have obtained the explicit written permission of the author(s) of the work involved in these services.

Authorship and acknowledgments

All people who have contributed substantively to the writing of the review must be acknowledged as an author. It is the responsibility of every person listed as an author of an article published in EB to have contributed in a meaningful and identifiable way to the design, performance, analysis, and reporting of the work, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. The list of authors will be alphabetical if they contributed equally; otherwise authors should be listed according to their degree of contribution, with the author contributing the most listed first. If more than one author is listed, a corresponding author and their email address must be identified on the published review.

Acknowledgments should also be made to other parties who contributed to the review but not sufficiently to warrant them being listed as an author. This must include, where relevant, any other support (including funding support) that the author(s) received.

Post-Publication and Access Policies

Full text of all reviews is available for free at [LINK](#). Other material may be made free at the author's discretion.

Copyrights/Permissions

Material published in *Evidence Base* is covered by copyright. All rights are reserved under Australian and international copyright and other laws and conventions.

ANZSOG provides an unrestricted license to authors to reproduce their respective reviews for non-commercial purposes such as teaching or research promotion.

It is the responsibility of authors to ensure that copyright has been obtained for any relevant material that will be included in the publications. Expenses incurred in obtaining copyright will normally be paid from the grant provided by ANZSOG to the author(s).

Peer Review/Publication Process

All publications will be subject to a review process at two points in the publication process:

- 1) A single-blind review (where the author does not know the identity of the referees) of the initial proposal that documents the aim of the publication, its importance to public sector managers, and a preliminary list of studies that will be the subject of the publication.
- 2) A double-blind referee process will also be applied to final draft publication received in accordance with the author's contract with ANZSOG.

Financial Associations/Conflict of Interest

EB is committed to publishing high quality, reliable, authoritative review articles that are free from commercial influence.

For all research articles we publish, study sponsorship and relevant financial information must be disclosed by authors in the publication as part of the Acknowledgments.

EB expects that authors do not have any significant financial interest relevant to the topics discussed in the publication. When a prospective author does have financial ties to disclose, the editors decide whether they are relevant to the topic and whether these might preclude publication.

Duties of Editors

Fair play and editorial independence

Editors evaluate submitted proposals and manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit (importance, originality, study validity, clarity) and their relevance to the journal's scope, without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, citizenship, religious belief, political philosophy or institutional affiliation. Decisions to edit and publish are not determined by the policies of governments or any other agencies outside of the journal itself. The Managing Editor has full authority over the entire editorial content of the journal and the timing of publication of that content.

Confidentiality

Editors and editorial staff will not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Editors and editorial board members will not use unpublished information disclosed in a submitted proposal or manuscript for their own research purposes without the authors' explicit written consent. Privileged information or ideas obtained by editors as a result of handling the manuscript will be kept confidential and not used for their personal advantage. Editors will recuse themselves from considering manuscripts or proposals in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships/connections with any of the authors, companies or institutions connected to the papers; instead, they will ask another member of the editorial board to handle the matter.

Publication decisions

The editors ensure that all submitted manuscripts being considered for publication undergo peer-review by at least two reviewers who are expert in the field or practitioners in the policy area that is the subject of the publication. The Managing Editor is responsible for deciding which of the proposals submitted to the journal will be commissioned, based on the validation of the work in question, its importance to researchers and readers, the reviewers' comments, and such legal requirements as are currently in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The Managing Editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

Involvement and cooperation in investigations

Editors (in conjunction with the publisher) will take responsive measures when ethical concerns are raised with regard to a submitted proposal or published paper. Every reported act of unethical publishing behaviour will be looked into, even if it is discovered years after publication. If, on investigation, the ethical concern is well-founded, a correction, retraction, expression of concern or other note as may be relevant, will be published in the journal.