Bioactive Compounds of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8 Inhibit Growth of Fouling Bacteria and Attenuate Biofilms of *Vibrio alginolyticus* FB3
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**Abstract**

Biofouling is a phenomenon that describes the fouling organisms attached to man-made surfaces immersed in water over a period of time. It has emerged as a chronic problem to the oceanic industries, especially the shipping and aquaculture fields. The metal-containing coatings that have been used for many years to prevent and destroy biofouling are damaging to the ocean and many organisms. Therefore, this calls for the critical need of natural product-based antifoulants as a substitute for its toxic counterparts. In this study, the antibacterial and antibiofilm activities of the bioactive compounds of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8 have been investigated against selected fouling bacteria. The crude extract has shown strong antibacterial activity against five fouling bacteria, with inhibition zones ranging from 9.8 to 13.7 mm and minimal inhibitory concentrations of 0.13 to 8.0 mg/ml. Meanwhile, the antibiofilm study has indicated that the extract has attenuated the initial and pre-formed biofilms of *Vibrio alginolyticus* FB3 by 45.37 ± 4.88% and 29.85 ± 2.56%, respectively. Moreover, micrographs from light and scanning electron microscope have revealed extensive structural damages on the treated biofilms. The active fraction was fractionated with chromatographic methods and liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy analyses has further disclosed the presence of a polyunsaturated fatty acid 4,7,10,13-hexadecatetraenoic acid (C16H24O2). Therefore, this compound was suggested as a potential bioactive compound contributing to the antibacterial property. In conclusion, *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8 is a promising source as a natural antifouling agent that can suppress the growth of five fouling bacteria and biofilms of *V. alginolyticus* FB3.
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**Introduction**

The aquaculture farm of the fishing industry is a severe victim from biofouling and its damaging impact. Other than the various economic consequences that had to be overcome with the cleaning, maintenance, and replacement of damaged nets, pressing focus is placed upon their detrimental effects of the cultured fishes. Colonization of bryozoans, gastropod, oyster, barnacles, and macroalgae on the pen- or cage-nets have been known to implicate the fishes by causing serious water quality problems, reducing the oxygen supply, and increasing food and space competition (Fitridge et al. 2012). Furthermore, abrasion injuries, high-stress levels, and exposure to pathogenic microbes harbored by the fouling organisms have also amplified the risk of diseases to the cultured fishes (Floerl et al. 2016). Another on-going issue related to biofouling is linked to the underwater hulls of ships, where macroalgae and barnacles attach to these structures and reduce the ship’s speed due to extra drag (Townsin 2003). As a result, it increases engine stress and fuel consumption.

Nowadays, metal-containing paints like tributyltin (TBT) and copper oxide (CuO) have been widely utilized in the war against biofouling due to their effectiveness (Braddy 2000). However, tin and copper are released into the seawater and do not decompose rapidly, thus causing bio-accumulation in the food chain and intoxicating many lower and higher-level animals (Iwata et al. 1995; Guardiola 2012). Regardless, the most significant risk can be expected to be seen in human beings through the ingestion of contaminated seafood.
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Therefore, the high toxicity effect of metal-based antifoulants on non-target organisms have spurred researchers to search for the biological extracts of secondary metabolites and enzymes as a sustainable alternative (Burgess et al. 2003; Acevedo 2013). This is supplemented by the knowledge of marine organisms like corals and macroalgae that maintain their clean and foul-free surfaces by synthesizing secondary metabolites, which act as refuge mechanisms against their predators (Limna Mol et al. 2009). Nonetheless, the protection is also apparently derived by secondary metabolites produced by epiphytic bacteria that symbiotically inhabit host surfaces (Jiang et al. 2011).

According to Davey and O’Toole (2000), biofilm formation plays various roles, including environmental signaling between cells, protection from the environment, mediating in nutrient availability and metabolic activity, and attainment of gene transfer for genetic diversity. In biofouling control, biofilm, in particular, has served to be a huge hindrance as it prevents antifouling compounds from penetrating its layer and performing their inhibitory action. It has been previously discovered that a minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of an antimicrobial agent against biofilms increase by 1000-fold in comparison with defenseless planktonic cells (Olson et al. 2002). Therefore, the importance of getting to the root of the problem is undeniable, triggering the search for a more sustainable antifouling compound that possesses both antibacterial and antibiofilm properties.

*Pseudoalteromonas* sp. is a Gram-negative bacterium from the class of Gammaproteobacteria. This particular genus dominates the marine microbiome, as it is linked with bacteriolytic and algicidal properties that reveal host-protective elements (Rao et al. 2007). Hitherto, members of *Pseudoalteromonas* have been discovered to produce a variety of secondary metabolites boasting a broad range of bioactivities, which includes antibacterial (Isnansetyo and Kamei 2003), antifungal (Franks et al. 2006), anticancer, antimalarial, and antioxidiant (Mitova et al. 2005; Martinez-Luis et al. 2011) benefits. Additionally, a brownish pigment called pyomelanin has been recently extracted from *Pseudoalteromonas lipolytica*, whereby its biofilms have displayed anti-larval activity against the settlement and metamorphosis of mussel *Mytilus coruscus* (Zeng et al. 2015; Zeng et al. 2017).

Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the inhibitory activity of ethyl acetate extract of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8 against the fouling bacteria and biofilms of *V. alginolyticus* FB3. The present study, therefore, suggests the existence of bioactive compounds within the ethyl acetate extract, which are responsible for their inherent antimicrobial and anti-biofilm activities.

### Experimental

#### Materials and Methods

**Bacterial strains and molecular identifications.** Isolate PD4.8 was isolated from the surface of a green macroalgae, *Caulerpa racemosa* at Port Dickson, Malaysia. Meanwhile, the fouling bacteria (FB) were isolated from the slime layer of a fouled fish net in an aquaculture farm located in Jerejak Island, Malaysia. All strains were then grown on marine agar (MA) for 24 h at 30°C.

An analysis of 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) sequence were carried out to identify all strains present. The bacteria were grown in marine broth (MB) for 20 h at 150 rpm and 30°C, whereas the culture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm and a temperature of 4°C for 30 min before a pellet was extracted according to the modified phenol-chloroform extraction method (Cheng and Jiang 2006). The extracted DNA was then amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primer 27F (5’-AGA GTT TGA TCM TGG CTC AG-3’) and reverse primer 1429R (5’-CGG TTA CCT TGT TAC GAC TT-3’). The PCR mixture was primarily consisting of 0.5 µl 27F, 0.5 µl 1492R, 0.5 µl DNA, 12.5 µl Ho Taq and 11.0 µl double distilled water (ddH₂O), which was vortexed and subjected to the following PCR cycles accordingly: 94°C for 30 sec, 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 60 seconds, 68°C for 1 min, and finally, final extension at 68°C for 5 min. Next, the DNA was semi-quantified in 0.7% (w/v) agarose gel in Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer and visualized under ultraviolet (UV) transilluminator (BioRad) after staining with ethidium bromide. To ensure accurate sizing and approximate quantification of the DNA, a gene ruler 1 kb Plus DNA ladder was used. The PCR products were subsequently purified using the Gel Extraction Kit (Real Biotech Corporation) and sent to First Base Laboratories Sdn. Bhd. for sequencing. Afterward, the 16S rDNA sequences obtained were aligned using ClustalW of Mega Software 5.2 and then compared with sequences available in the gene bank database of National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). A phylogenetic tree was constructed using Maximum Parsimony Method with 1000 bootstrap replications in Mega Ver.6.0 software (Tamura et al. 2013).

**Fermentation and extraction.** The seed culture of PD4.8 was prepared in 100 ml MB, agitated at 150 rpm and a temperature of 30°C for 24 h. Then, 10 ml of the culture with optical density (OD) 600 of 1.0 was inoculated into sterilized MB (10% v/v) and incubated at 150 rpm at a temperature of 30°C for 5 days (Bavya et al. 2011). The fermented broth was then centrifuged at 4000 rpm and a temperature of 4°C for 30 min. Next, the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate (EtOAc) in
a separating funnel (1:1.3 v/v), whereby the resulting extract was concentrated using a rotary evaporator and kept at 4°C until further use.

**Disc diffusion and minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) assays.** Twenty µl of the extract was prepared in 98% methanol (MeOH) at a concentration of 100 mg/ml and impregnated on sterilized 6 mm disc (Nor Afifah et al. 2017). Meanwhile, the FB bacteria (approx. 1 x 10⁸ cells/ml) were prepared in 0.9% saline and swabbed evenly on the MA. The discs were then placed on the MA surface and incubated at 30°C for 24 h. Copper omadine (CuPT) (0.002 mg/disc) and 98% methanol were utilized as positive and negative controls, respectively. The resulting zones of inhibition produced around the discs were then measured in millimeter (mm).

The MIC assay of extract was undertaken according to the modified microdilution method (Yu et al. 2012). The extract, in particular, was prepared at 16.0 mg/ml (10% MeOH) and serially diluted with MB to obtain the concentrations of 8.0 mg/ml to 0.031 mg/ml. In the well, 100 µl of an extract was mixed together with 100 µl of FB, with an additional set of color control (100 µl of extract added with 100 µl MB). Two negative controls consisting of 100 µl 10% MeOH and 100 µl MB and 200 µl MB respectively were also prepared. The plate was incubated at 90 rpm at a temperature of 30°C for 24 h, whereby the well with the lowest extract concentration that showed no turbidity when compared with color control (100 µl of extract added with 100 µl MB) was taken as the MIC. All tests were done in triplicate.

**Thin layer chromatography (TLC) agar-overlay assay.** The crude extract was spotted at the bottom part of the TLC plate (aluminum, 2 cm x 10 cm). The plate was developed with a mixture of dichloromethane (DCM): EtOAc: MeOH (5:5:1 v/v), and subsequently observed under visible and UV lights (254 nm and 366 nm, respectively). Each spot that appeared on the TLC plate was then identified and its retention factor (Rf) calculated accordingly. Then, the TLC plate was sterilized under the UV light for 30 min and placed on the MA, with the silica surface facing upwards. Next, 10 ml of molten MA (45°C) containing 1 x 10⁸ cells/ml of the FB was poured evenly on the TLC plate and allowed to solidify. The plate was incubated at 30°C for 24 h, and the MA surface was sprayed with 5 mg/ml ethanolic solution of p-iodonitrotetrazolium chloride (INT) post-incubation before being incubated in the dark at room temperature (28 ± 2°C) for 30 min. The bioactive spot was consequently identified via the clear zones that form against the purple background. A negative control was also used, specifically the non-spotted TLC plate.

**Column chromatography and preparative TLC.** To purify and collect the target bioactive fraction, a normal phase column chromatography was carried out with the isocratic elution of DCM: EtOAc: MeOH (5:5:1 v/v). The partially purified bioactive fraction was then re-tested in the MIC assay and subjected to further purification via preparative TLC using a mixture of hexane (Hex): EtOAc (1:9 v/v). The developed TLC plate was next visualized under long UV light and all sub-fractions were marked, scraped off, and soaked in 98% MeOH (HPLC) grade overnight. Then, the solutions were centrifuged at 10 000 rpm and a temperature of 4°C for 15 min, with the resulting supernatants, collected, dried, and tested in the disc diffusion assay to identify the active sub-fraction. Finally, the identified active sub-fraction was re-developed with Hex: EtOAc (1:9 v/v) so as to screen for purity.

**Liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy (LC-MS).** The identified bioactive sub-fraction was dissolved in 98% MeOH (HPLC grade) and filtered through a Sartorius polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane filter (47 mm in diameter, 0.22 µm pore size). The filtered sample was then analyzed by LC coupled with the quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Q-TOF MS) system (Agilent Technologies). Further reverse-phase chromatography was also conducted in a Luna C18(2) column (4.6 mm x 150 mm, 5 µm, 100 Å) at a flow rate of 0.40 ml/min, with the eluent of 0.001% ammonia in deionized water (pH 7.37) and acetonitrile (6:4 v/v) over 20 min. The separated peaks were then analyzed by TOF-MS (20–2000 Da) via electrospray ionization (ESI-negative ion mode) and the consequent m/z interpreted using the MS spectra libraries (Agilent METLIN Personal Metabolite Database).

**Quantitative biofilm inhibition assay.** In the biofilm inhibition assay, V. alginolyticus FB3 was only tested as it produced the highest level of biofilm in comparison with other strains (data not shown). The bacterial suspension was prepared by inoculating the bacteria into 50 ml MB and incubated at 150 rpm and 30°C for 24 h. Next, the pellet was collected after centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C, and re-suspended in MB (Burmølle et al. 2006). In the initial biofilm inhibition assay, 100 µl of the bacterial suspension (OD₆₀₀ = 0.15) was inoculated together with 100 µl of extract into the wells of sterilized flat bottom 96-well microtiter plate. The final concentrations of the extract were 0.03, 0.06, 0.13, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0, and 16.0 mg/ml respectively. Three negative controls that comprised of 200 µl MB, 100 µl inoculum with 100 µl 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (v/v) in MB, and 100 µl MB with 100 µl extract, were also prepared accordingly.

The plate was incubated in a static condition for 24 h at 30°C, whereby the content of the wells after 24 hours was decanted by gently flipping the microtiter plate in a sterile container. Then, the wells were gently washed twice with the sterilized phosphate-buffer solution (PBS). The biofilms were next heat-fixed for 1 h at 60°C...
and stained with 210 µl of 0.06% crystal violet for 15 min. Next, the crystal violet was discarded by gently flipping the microtiter plate in a sterile container before the wells were rinsed twice with sterilized dH2O. Subsequently, the wells were flooded with 210 µl of 30% acetic acid for 10 min, following which the absorbance of the crystal violet solution was measured at 570 nm with a microtiter plate reader (Thermo Scientific).

In the pre-formed biofilm inhibition assay, the biofilm was grown by adding 100 µl of the bacterial suspension with 100 µl MB and incubated in static for 24 h. The wells were then washed with sterilized PBS before 100 µl of extract and 100 µl of fresh MB was added into them and re-incubated for 24 h at 30°C. The percentage of biofilm inhibition in comparison with the untreated control biofilms was then calculated accordingly (Nikolić et al. 2014). Meanwhile, statistical analysis was undertaken using the data obtained via Tukey’s posthoc test after one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using SPSS software (IBM software, version 22.0, USA). A p value of < 0.05 between the means was considered as statistically different, and all experiments were performed in four replicates accordingly:

% biofilm inhibition = \( \frac{\text{OD growth control} - \text{OD sample}}{\text{OD growth control}} \times 100\% \)

**Light microscope (LM) study.** The method was undertaken as per Abu Sayem et al. (2011), with some additional modifications. Sterilized glass coverslips were placed in a tilting manner in the wells of flat-bottom 96-well microtiter plates. Then, an aliquot of 100 µl of bacterial suspension and 100 µl of extract (final concentration of 8.0 mg/ml) was added into the wells. Next, the plate was incubated in the static condition at 30°C for 24 h. For control, the extract was substituted with 5% DMSO (v/v). After the incubation process, a non-adherent biofilm was washed with PBS and the coverslip heat-fixed at 60°C for 1 h, followed with staining using 0.06% crystal violet for 15 min, and subsequent re-washing and air-drying. Finally, the stained coverslips were examined under the LM attached with a digital camera (Olympus U-CMAD3). In case of the pre-formed biofilm, the extract was introduced to the 24 h-old biofilm that grew on the coverslip.

**Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) study.** The SEM samples for initial and pre-formed biofilms were prepared according to the method in LM and continued further with biofilm fixing with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS at 30°C for 24 h (Cai et al. 2013). The fixed biofilms were subjected to dehydration processes via incremental percentages of ethanol for 10 min each (50%, 75%, 95%, and 100% ethanol twice) before being air-dried. The coverslips were then immersed in the hexamethyldisilazane sputtered in gold and subsequently examined under the SEM (Leica Cambridge, S-360, UK).

**Results**

**Bacteria identification.** Table I shows the descriptions of all identified strains with their respective accession numbers from the NCBI database. The identified isolates were consequently denoted accordingly as *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8, *V. alginolyticus* FB3, *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. FB4, *Alteromonas* sp. FB7, *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. FB9, and *Bacillus* sp. FB13 throughout the study. Based on the NCBI database, the epiphytic isolate *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8 was closely related to *Pseudoalteromonas shioyasakiensis* with the 99% percentage of similarity (Table I). A result from the strict consensus tree (Fig. I) has further supported that the epiphytic isolate is of *P. shioyasakiensis* with a bootstrap value of 54%.

**Disc diffusion and MIC assays.** At the extract concentration of 2.0 mg/disc, the ethyl acetate extract showed various degrees of inhibitory activity on the tested FB. The most susceptible FB was *Bacillus* sp. FB13, with an inhibition zone of 13.7 ± 2.1 mm. Meanwhile, the inhibition zones of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. FB4 and *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. FB9 were 10.3 ± 1.5 mm and 9.0 ± 1.0 mm, respectively, whereas that of *V. alginolyticus* FB3 and *Alteromonas* sp. were 9.8 ± 1.4 mm and 11.8 ± 2.4 mm, respectively. In contrast, the extract concentration of 0.002 mg/disc was the positive control CuPT that inhibited all FB with different sizes of inhibition zones between 9.0 to 16.7 mm.

In the MIC assays, the results indicated a concentration-dependent pattern for the extract against the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Sequence length (bp)</th>
<th>BLAST result</th>
<th>% Similarity</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD4.8</td>
<td>1385</td>
<td><em>Pseudoalteromonas shioyasakiensis</em></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>LC131142.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB3</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td><em>Vibrio alginolyticus</em></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>KC884661.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB4</td>
<td>1256</td>
<td><em>Pseudoalteromonas</em> sp.</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>JX0705058.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB7</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td><em>Alteromonas</em> sp.</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>EF061415.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB9</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td><em>Pseudoalteromonas</em> sp.</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>JX0705059.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB13</td>
<td>1446</td>
<td><em>Bacillus</em> sp.</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>DQ448746.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FB tested, whereby increased extract concentrations caused greater bacterial inhibition. This was evidenced by the clearer broth that was observed as the extract concentrations increased higher. The lowest MIC was noticed at 0.13 mg/ml against *Bacillus* sp. FB13. Meanwhile, for the remaining four FB (*V. alginolyticus* FB3, *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. FB4, and *Alteromonas* sp. FB7, *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. FB9) a MIC value was equal to 8.0 mg/ml (Table II).

**Table II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fouling bacteria</th>
<th>Crude (mg/ml), MIC</th>
<th>F3 (mg/ml), MIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Vibrio alginolyticus</em> FB3</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudoalteromonas</em> sp. FB4</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alteromonas</em> sp. FB7</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudoalteromonas</em> sp. FB9</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus</em> sp. FB13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TLC agar-overlay assay.** The separation of the ethyl acetate extract on the TLC plate demonstrated one yellow spot under visible light (R, 0.55), three spots under short UV light (R, 0.26–0.55), and seven spots under long UV light (R, 0.06–0.85). In contrast, TLC agar-overlay assay revealed a significant clear zone formed around the yellow spot (fluorescent green; R, 0.55), and two fuzzy zones (light yellow; R, 0.06 and fluorescent blue; R, 0.35) (Fig. 2). The compounds of interest were in the yellow spot at R, 0.55.

**Antimicrobial activity and purification of fraction.** Based on the agar-overlay assay (Fig. 2), three fractions were identified as potential fractions displaying antimicrobial activity. The yellow fraction (R, 0.55) that showed the strongest inhibition zone was collected from the column chromatography. The remaining two fractions were excluded due to poor antimicrobial activity and low yield. Overall, ten fractions have been collected from the column chromatography, with the targeted yellow fraction denoted as F3. The subsequent MIC results of F3 against five FB displayed a variety of inhibitory effects, including static, decreased or enhanced activities in comparison to the crude extract (Table II). Fraction F3, in particular, has yielded a MIC value of 8.0 mg/ml against *V. alginolyticus* FB3, *Alteromonas* sp. FB7, and *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. FB9 similarly to the crude extract. The fraction F3 was found to be more effective towards *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. FB4, where the MIC was recorded to be equal to 4 mg/ml. In contrast, the MIC for fraction F3 against *Bacillus* sp. FB13 increased from 0.13 mg/ml to 0.50 mg/ml, indicating a reduction in antimicrobial activity.

Further separation of fraction F3 on the prepared TLC plate with the solvent system Hex: EtOAc (1:9 v/v) yielded five sub-fractions (under long UV light), namely: fluorescent green (R, 0.55), light blue (R, 0.71), light yellow (R, 0.78), and light blue (R, 0.84 and 0.90, respectively). All sub-fractions on *V. alginolyticus* FB3 were subjected to disc diffusion assay and the sub-fraction R, 0.55 was identified as the only sub-fraction that showed inhibitory effect against the FB tested (data not shown).

**Fig. 1.** Phylogenetic tree of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8 isolated from the surface of *C. racemosa.*

**Fig. 2.** Agar-overlay assay of ethyl acetate extract of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8 against *V. alginolyticus* FB3. Circle (1) light yellow, R, 0.06; (2) fluorescent blue, R, 0.35; (3) fluorescent green, R, 0.55.
shown). It appeared as a yellow paste and was subsequently denoted as sub-fraction F3a.

**LC-MS analysis.** The LC-MS analysis of sub-fraction F3a identified 23 secondary metabolites within the retention times ($R_t$) of 4.093 to 11.838 min (in the Supplementary Material). Based on the results of the searchable MS spectra libraries (Agilent METLIN Personal Metabolite Database), only six were identifiable and matched > 80% of the database search match score (score DB). The six compounds were sulfate, 4,7,10,13-hexadecatetraenoic acid, homoveratric acid, isoacitretin, sodium tetradecyl sulfate, and D1-2-Hydroxymethylethisteron. Table III summarizes the characteristics of the identified compounds. Furthermore, 12 out of the remaining 17 unidentified compounds were found to be nitrogen-containing compounds. The compound 4,7,10,13-hexadecatetraenoic acid ($C_{16}H_{24}O_2$) as a type of polyunsaturated fatty acids was anticipated to be the compound responsible for the antibacterial activity of the sub-fraction F3a.

**Microtiter plate biofilm inhibition assay.** Figure 3 shows the percentage of the biofilm inhibition versus the extract concentrations (0.03–16 mg/ml). At the low extract concentrations of 0.03 and 0.06 mg/ml, the initial biofilm formation was stimulated by $14.44 \pm 24.73\%$ and $4.54 \pm 10.54\%$, respectively, which is what was demonstrated by the negative values of graph bars in Fig. 3. Furthermore, inhibition of the initial biofilm was also observed when the extract concentration increased from 0.13 mg/ml ($8.17 \pm 8.42\%$) to...
0.25 mg/ml (6.22 ± 12.15%). However, it was retarded at 0.50 mg/ml before the biofilm production was re-induced (−5.97 ± 30.14%). At 1.0 to 8.0 mg/ml, gradual increase of inhibition was observed from 23.88 ± 7.54% (1.0 mg/ml) to 45.37 ± 4.88% (8.0 mg/ml) accordingly. The biofilm inhibition was only reduced (32.32 ± 12.24%) at a concentration of 16.0 mg/ml.

Although inhibitory activity of the extract against the pre-formed biofilm was less effective in comparison with the initial biofilm, their inhibition patterns were comparable (Fig. 3). The pre-formed biofilm production was induced at lower extract concentrations of 0.03 mg/ml (−3.30 ± 17.02%), 0.06 mg/ml (−5.84 ± 13.51%), and 0.13 mg/ml (−7.24 ± 4.62%). Furthermore, gradual increments in the antibiofilm activity were also observed when the extract concentrations increased from 0.25 mg/ml (4.44 ± 8.78%) to 0.50 mg/ml (6.61 ± 3.88%) and 1.0 mg/ml (7.24 ± 10.72%), accordingly. Additionally, the highest inhibition of the pre-formed biofilm was detected at 8.0 mg/ml (29.85 ± 2.56%), but it was statistically not significant (p > 0.005).

**LM study.** Hypothetically, the coloration of the purple image observed under LM arised from a positively charged CV stain that bound to the envelopes of negatively charged cells and biofilm matrix. The LM results in Fig. 4 indicated that the extract was capable of inhibiting the initial and pre-formed biofilm of *V. alginolyticus* FB3 at 8.0 mg/ml. Meanwhile, Fig. 4a and 4c depicted the untreated sets of the initial and pre-formed biofilms, respectively. The deep purple colors from both figures were suggestive of highly dense biofilms that consisted of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) matrix that entrapped the microcolonies. In the initial biofilm set, its exposure to the extract resulted in complete eradication of the biofilm, which left unbound cells and thin strands of damaged biofilms only (Fig. 4b). However, these results also showed that the pre-formed biofilm was less disrupted when treated with the extract at 8.0 mg/ml (Fig. 4). Some small dark patches of biofilms, in particular, have been seen to crumble together into a denser form.

**SEM study.** The SEM observations have revealed significant destructive effects on both initial and pre-formed
biofilm architectures when treated with an extract at a concentration of 8.0 mg/ml (Fig. 5). The untreated initial biofilm shown the very low density of EPS within microcolonies that aggregated together (Fig. 5a). In contrast, the untreated pre-formed biofilm displayed more copious and non-homogenized production of EPS and microcolonies (Fig. 5c). The multilayer biofilms entrapped the microcolonies in a more complex arrangement. Moreover, the treated initial biofilm revealed that both microcolonies and EPS components alike were adversely destroyed and clumped together into unrecognized shape (Fig. 5b). Meanwhile, the treated pre-formed biofilm indicated drastic reductions with partially vanished EPS components that served to protect the cells, thereby contributing to a less compact structure (Fig. 5d).

**Discussion**

The present study was intended to investigate the inhibitory activity of the extract from *Pseudoalteleromonas* sp. IBRL PD4.8 against fouling bacteria and biofilm production. The results have subsequently revealed that its ethyl acetate extract possesses a wide spectrum of antimicrobial activity by inhibiting both Gram-positive and Gram-negative fouling bacteria. This is the intimation that the extract may be capable of controlling complex microbial population and preventing subsequent biofouling process (Kwon et al. 2002). Only few reports have described the microbial extracts that inhibited multiple strains of fouling bacteria, and were recognized to be potential sources for the development of non-toxic antifouling compounds. One example is the ethyl acetate extract of *Streptomyces filamentous* R1, which was isolated from the sediment sample and inhibited three fouling bacteria (*Bacillus* sp. (BB11), *Serratia* sp. (BB13), and *Alteromonas* sp. (BB14)) (Bayva et al. 2011). Besides, another study has specifically depicted the fractions of ethyl acetate extract obtained from a marine fungus, *Cladosporium* sp. 14. It showed strong inhibitory effect and influenced the growth of three larval-settlement inducing bacteria, namely *Laktonella hongkongensis*, *Micrococcus luteus*, and *Rhodovulum* sp. (Qi et al. 2009).

Fig. 5. The scanning electron microscopic images of *V. alginolyticus* FB3 biofilms treated with the extract at a concentration of 8.0 mg/ml. A. untreated initial biofilm (10 000×); B. treated initial biofilm (10 000×); C. untreated pre-formed biofilm (5000×); D. treated pre-formed biofilm (5000×).
In the disc diffusion and MIC assays, *Bacillus* sp. FB13 has appeared to be the most susceptible FB strain towards the extract compared to other Gram-negative FB. The less virulent property of this particular strain may be attributed to its cell wall structures, whereby it is a Gram-positive bacterium with a thick cell wall in the peptidoglycan layer and lacks the outer membrane layer. The outer membrane layer of a Gram-negative bacterium typically consists of an outer membrane with lipopolysaccharides and phospholipids, which is an effective barrier and prevents the passage of foreign molecules, including antibiotics (Nikaido 2003). Furthermore, the presence of the efflux pump within the cell envelope of the Gram-negative FB may also actively functions in expelling antibiotic molecules from its cytoplasm. This subsequently results in the reduced intracellular accumulation of antibiotics, thus minimizing the antimicrobial effect (Abdallah et al. 2007).

The antibacterial activity present in the ethyl acetate extracts of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. against marine bacteria has already been discovered (Hayashida-Soiza et al. 2008; Bernbom et al. 2011). Similarly, the ethyl acetate extract of *P. haloplanktis* INH isolated from a scallop hatchery has also shown a powerful antibacterial activity against various marine and clinical pathogens, which includes *V. alginolyticus* ATCC 17749, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* IFO 3903, *Escherichia coli* IFO 3366, and *Staphylococcus aureus* IFO 13276. The antibacterial compound has been identified as isovaleric acid (Hayashida-Soiza et al. 2008). Moreover, three ethyl acetate extracts of *Pseudoalteromonas* isolates have been isolated from the deep-sea sediment of West Pacific Ocean, which also displayed antibacterial activity against several biofilm-forming bacteria from Hong Kong waters (Xu et al. 2007). Similarly, two diketopiperazines identified as cyclo-(L-Ph-L-Pro) and cyclo-(L-Leu-L-Pro) have been isolated from *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. of octoral *Leptogorgia alba* (Martinez-Luis et al. 2011). At 100 µg/ml, both compounds have revealed a strong growth inhibitory effect on *Vibrio* sp. and *B. subtilis*, with the diameter of inhibitions of 14.5 to 25.0 mm.

From the LC-MS result, a polyunsaturated fatty acid named 4,7,10,13-hexadecatetraenoic acid (C\(_{16}\)H\(_{24}\)O\(_4\)) has been predicted to be potentially responsible for the antibacterial activity against the fouling bacteria. No antimicrobial report has been found for the remaining five identified compounds (i.e. sulphate, homovaratic acid, isoacitretin, sodium tetracycl sulphate, and D1-2-Hydroxymethyl ether) accordingly, thus rendering them not attributed as potentially antibacterial compounds. Therefore, fatty acids have emerged as new and promising antimicrobial agents due to a strong and broad range of activities, and low likelihood of inducing bacterial resistance (Georgel et al. 2005; Desbois 2012). Previously, two short fatty acid chains called isovaleric acid (C\(_{3}\)H\(_{6}\)O\(_2\)) and 2-methylbutyric acid (C\(_{7}\)H\(_{10}\)O\(_2\)) have been isolated from the ethyl acetate extract of *P. haloplanktis* INH, and inhibited growth of six marine strains and eight clinical strains (Hayashida-Soiza et al. 2008). Besides, some polyunsaturated fatty acids with antibacterial activity have also been isolated from marine sources, such as, (6Z, 9Z, 12Z)-hexadecatrienoic acid (HTA) from a marine diatom and were effective against terrestrial and marine pathogens (Desbois 2012). Other examples also include stearidonic acid and gamma-linoleic acid sourced from the dried thalli of *Enteromorpha linza*, which displayed low MIC values against some oral pathogens like *Candida albicans* and *Poryphyromonas gingivalis* (Park et al. 2013).

Several antibacterial mechanisms can be proposed in elucidating the predicted 4,7,10,13-hexadecatetraenoic acid activities against susceptible fouling bacteria. The first mechanism can involve disruption of the bacterial cell membrane. The amphipathic structure and aliphatic chains of the fatty acids serve to facilitate the compound’s interaction with cell membrane components to form pores (Desbois and Smith 2010). Similarly, a toxic fatty acid like palmitoleate could create various sizes of pores at the cytoplasmic membrane of *S. aureus*, thus allowing the leakage of intracellular compounds (Parsons et al. 2012). Moreover, a significantly higher fatty acid concentration may cause a disastrous effect on the cell membrane via its solubilisation into fragmented parts (Desbois and Smith 2010). The second mechanism may be through the inhibition of bacterial enzymatic activity. It has been shown that linoleic acid inhibited the Fabl enzyme, which is essential for the biosynthesis of fatty acids in bacterial cell membranes (Zheng et al. 2005). Besides, the fatty acids also aid in cell lysis (Shin et al. 2007), reducing energy production in the electron transport chain system (Cartron et al. 2014), block nutrient uptake (Galbraith and Miller 1973), and peroxidation or auto-oxidation of the cells due to degraded products of the fatty acids (Wang and Johnson 1992).

In biofouling, the presence of biofilm is important to induce the settlement of larvae and spores, while also serving as a protective barrier against the antifoulant. In this study, *V. alginolyticus* FB3 has been selected due to the high amount of biofilm produced when compared to the other FB.

Generally, the extract obtained in this study had the ability to inhibit both the initial and pre-formed biofilms of *V. alginolyticus* FB3 (Fig. 3). It also shown a biphasic effect on the biofilms, since biofilm formation was induced in low extract concentration and inhibited at a higher extract concentration (Murado and Vázquez 2010). This finding is similar to that of Kaplan (2011), who demonstrated the induction of the formation of biofilm by three MRSA strains when treated with methicillin at sub-MIC concentrations. At sub-MIC,
the methicillin triggered the extracellular (eDNA) and auto-aggregation mechanisms, subsequently aiding the formation processes, i.e., the initial attachment, early development, and stability retainment. Additionally, low concentrations (sub-MIC) of carbenicillin, cephaloridine, and ticaricillin have induced the expression of the cps gene, which is responsible for the synthesis of colonic acid capsular polysaccharide, and specific for mature biofilm of E. coli (Sailer et al. 2003).

Furthermore, some works have also reported the antibiofilm activities of Pseudoalteromonas species against pathogens. Strains of P. nigrifaceus and P. flavipulchra SktPp1, in particular, showed a reduction in the formation of V. cholera (Waturangi et al. 2011) and Serratia marcescens (Iqbal et al. 2015) biofilms, respectively. Meanwhile, the biofilms of S. marcescens treated with the crude extract of P. flavipulchra SktPp1 were reduced by 26.9% at a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml when compared to the control (Iqbal et al. 2015). Similarly, the filter-sterilized supernatant of Pseudoalteromonas sp. KS8 reduced the biofilm formation and the mass of mature biofilms of P. aeruginosa PAO1 by 63% and 33%, respectively (Busetti et al. 2015). The antibiofilm activity may be due to inhibition of the signaling molecule acyl-homoserine lactone (AHL) in a quorum sensing (QS) system of the P. aeruginosa PAO1. QS can be described as a process of cell-cell communication, allowing the bacteria to share information about cell density and secretion of a signaling molecule called auto-inducer signals (Miller and Bassler 2001). The system is particularly crucial in biofilm development as it permits a communication between cells to increase the population densities and consequently induce biofilm formation (Pearson et al. 1999; Lade et al. 2014).

In the work by Ponnusamy et al. (2013), a significant reduction of initial biofilms of A. hydrophila has been detected under SEM and it correlated with the low amount of AHLs detected. Additionally, anti-biofilm and anti-QS activities have also been identified in the biofilm layer of S. pyogenes, which depicted the absence of dense biofilm layers upon treatment with the coral-associated bacterial extract (Thenmozhi et al. 2009).

In this study, the highest percentage of V. alginolyticus FB3 biofilm inhibition has been achieved at the concentration of the extract up to 8.0 mg/ml. Such mild inhibitory activity may be contributed to antagonistic actions of the compounds present together with the bioactive compounds. The purified compounds are generally more active than the crude extract, provided there is no synergistic enhancement within the mixture (Liu and Zhao 2016). Therefore, a bioassay-guided fractionation of the crude extract with antibiofilm activity is required to isolate the active antibiofilm compound. As it was shown in Fig. 3, the lower percentage of inhibition at a higher extract concentration (16.0 mg/ml) when compared to inhibition at 8.0 mg/ml is presumably due to the stimulation of stress-response genes of the biofilms. At that particular concentration, the extract may have become the ‘stressors’ and rendered the development of protective or adaptive stress-response, promoting biofilm formation and antimicrobial resistance (Poole 2012). One example of such stress-response is the improved number of persisters that are highly tolerant to antimicrobials (Keren et al. 2004). Persisters can be described as a small subpopulation of dormant cells that survive the treatment of bactericidal antibiotic (Lewis 2010). Nonetheless, the outcomes for every antibiofilm assay is different from one another, depending on the different types of biofilms that can be formed by the microorganisms.

Moreover, the qualitative biofilm assays such as LM and SEM provided results that indicated lower disruption of the pre-formed biofilm in comparison with the initial biofilm (Fig. 4 and 5). Based on these observations, the extract may have interfered with the initial bacterial attachment on the surface, thus preventing the formation of biofilms. This is due to bacterial attachment to a surface being the initial and fundamental step in the formation of a biofilm (O’Toole et al. 2000). Moreover, the antibacterial property of the extract may have rendered some of the planktonic cells of V. alginolyticus FB3 to be suppressed before the coverslip surface is colonized. The attachment surface may have also been modified by the extract, thus hindering bacterial colonization and biofilm development (Lewandowski and Beyenal 2014).

The glass coverslips used as the substratum is hydrophilic in nature and display high wetting capacity, in which the conditioning layer and biofilms tend to form strong adhesion (Ben Abdallah et al. 2014). In this study, the bioactive compounds in the extract may have played a role as the biosurfactant or bioemulsifier to the surface, repelling bacterial attachment and biofilm development (Neu 1996). Additionally, microbial polyphilic (also known as amphiphilic) polymers like polysaccharides and lipoteichoic acids also contain hydrophobic substituents like methyl and acyl groups, which are structurally crucial in producing a hydrophobically modified surface (Neu 1996). Therefore, the physically modified surface might have interfered with the initial bacterial adhesion and subsequently prevented biofilm formation. This amphiphilic criterion inadvertently matched the characteristic of the identified polyunsaturated fatty acid 4,7,10,13-hexadecatetraenoic acid in sub-fraction F3a, which contains a methyl group at one of its ends. Similarly, hexadecanolic acid and lineolic acid have also depicted antibiofilm activity against Vibrio spp. (Santhakumari et al. 2016), and Streptococcus mutants (Jung et al. 2014) via their surface-active compounds properties. Microscopic
observations of the affected Vibrio spp. biofilms have indicated that the hexadecanoic acid reduced the initial attachment and disintegrated the mature biofilms.

In this study, SEM of the pre-formed biofilms has revealed that the biofilms were structurally thicker, hence reaffirming their function as the protective barrier that limits the entrance of antifoulants into the biofilm. Nithya and Pandian (2010) have suggested that the antibiofilm activity against the tested V. agilinolyticus, V. parahaemolyticus, and V. vulnificus respectively was due to inhibition of the initial attachment, biofilm formation, and dispersion of the mature biofilm. Furthermore, LM results by Santhakumari et al. (2016) have also revealed the prevention of initial attachment and disruption of mature biofilms of V. harveyi MTCC 3438 and V. vulnificus MTCC 1145 with the extract of cyanobacterial Synechococcus sp.

In the shipping industry, the removal and prevention of biofilm attachment from different ship compartments, especially the hulls and rotating discs will reduce frictional resistance. However, only a few studies have been carried out to investigate the effects of biofilm on the drag of a ship. Watanabe et al. (1969) have specifically predicted an increase of 9–10% ship resistance when slime fouling is attached to the concentric cylinders, rotating disks and a model ship. Similarly, a whopping 18% of reduced shaft horsepower is linked with propelling a ship when microbial biofilm and slight macrofouling are removed from its hull (Haslebeck and Bohlander 1992). Additionally, the composition and thickness of the biofilms can significantly influence the friction drag of a ship (Schultz and Swain 2000), as filamentous biofilms (slime and green algae) are found to cause higher skin friction. This is upon comparison with non-filamentous biofilms, thus highlighting and attributing towards biofilm complexities. All of these studies have successfully shown that biofilms can significantly increase the drag friction of a ship and reduce its speed.

In conclusion, the ethyl acetate extract of Pseudoalteromonas sp. IBRL PD4.8 contains an active compound known as 4,7,10,13-hexadecatetraenoic acid which can be a potential antibacterial compound against the fouling bacteria. It may prevent biofilm formation and eradicate established biofilm of the fouling bacteria V. agilinolyticus FB3. Regardless, further investigation should be conducted to identify the specific active anti-biofilm compound. Additionally, an incorporation of the extract into paint formulation should also be considered for future application purposes.
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