

### **Process Review Policy**

The Editor-in-Chief and/or the assigned Editor perform the initial evaluation of the manuscript (a pre-screening stage).

The manuscript can be rejected at this stage due to poor English language used in the article or when the topic does not fit the scope of the Journal. Another reason for the rejection of the article without peer-review is when it contains a significant plagiarized content. The article may be also rejected when the results are too preliminary or the conclusions do not reflect the results.

After the positive initial evaluation, the manuscript is assigned to the reviewers. The reviewers are selected according to their expertise from the PJM database and/or from the list supplied by the corresponding Author.

The following criteria are being evaluated in the revision process: the originality and significance of the research findings, the proper experimental design, correct scientific and microbiological nomenclature, appropriate statistical analyses, correct data interpretation, comprehensive description of the results and discussion, relevant citation of literature references and adherence to the Instructions for Authors. The Reviewers are requested to provide the recommendation on the manuscript. The recommendations are then forwarded to the Editor and the Editor-in-Chief who make the final decision. The reviewers' comments are sent to the authors.

The Tutorial for Reviewers in on-line submission system Editorial Manager is available [here](#).